

United States Fatent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEFARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patont and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E FI	IRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET N	O. CONFIRMATION NO.
09/667,859	09/20/2000)	Marek Z. Kubin	1010-US	1889
75	590 12/0	17/2001			
Immunex Corporation				EXAMINER	
Law Departmer 51 University S	treet			EWOLD	T, GERALD R
Seattle, WA 98101				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1644	İ
				DATE MAILED: 12/07/	2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/667,859

Applicant(s)

Kubin et al.

Examiner

G. R. Ewoldt

rt Unit 1644



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period f	for Reply			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM		
aft - If the	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will		
- If NO co - Failur - Any r	ommunication. re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status	,			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 20, 2	2000 .		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) 37-43 and 48-72	is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)□	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims <u>37-43 and 48-72</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
9	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 			
-	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachme				
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:				

Serial No. 09/667,859 Art Unit 1644

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 37-39 and 70, drawn to method of stimulating NK or T cells in a patient, classified in Class 424, subclass 278.1.
- II. Claims 40-43, drawn to method of inhibiting the stimulation of NK, T, B, or dendritic cells in a patient, classified in Class 424, subclasses 278.1 and 184.1.
- III. Claims 48-50, 54-57, and 59, drawn to a nucleic acid, a fragment, a vector, a host cell, and a method for producing a recombinant polypeptide, classified in Class 435, subclasses 69.1, 252.3, 320.1.
- IV. Claims 51, 58, and 60-61, drawn to a polypeptide, a fragment, and an immunogenic composition, classified in Class 424, subclass 278.1 and Class 530, subclass 380.
- V. Claims 52-53, drawn to an antibody, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.1.
- VI. Claim 62, drawn to a fusion protein, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.3.
- VII. Claims 63-64, drawn to method of detecting or chelating CD48, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.
- VIII. Claim 65, drawn to method of inhibiting the binding of CD48 to NAIL, classified in Class 424, subclass 278.1 and Class 435, subclass 7.1.
- IX. Claims 66-67, drawn to method of screening for inhibitors of the binding of CD48 to NAIL, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.
- X. Claims 68-69 and 72, drawn to method of stimulating B cells, classified in Class 424, subclass 278.1 and Class 435, subclass 7.1.
- XI. Claims 68-69 and 72, drawn to method of inhibiting the proliferation of cancer cells, classified in Class 424, subclass 278.1 and Class 435, subclass 7.1.

Serial No. 09/667,859 Art Unit 1644

- 2. Inventions I-II and VII-XI are different methods. These inventions require different reagents, i.e., CD48 or NAIL, acting through different process steps, with different modes of operation, different endpoints, i.e., detecting or screening, and/or different outcomes, i.e., stimulation or inhibition. Therefore they are patentably distinct.
- 3. Invention III-VI are different products. They are distinct because their structures and/or modes of action are different. Therefore, the Invention are patentably distinct.
- 4. The polypeptide of Invention IV is related to the antibody of Invention V by virtue of being an antibody and the antigen to which it binds. Protein antigens and antibodies are physically and functionally distinct chemical entities. In addition to serving as antigens, polypeptides may also serve as receptor ligands, hormones, or in assays for the identification same, in addition to pharmaceutical compositions as claimed.
- 5. The nucleic acid of Invention III is related to the polypeptide of Invention IV by virtue of encoding same. However, nucleic acids and polypeptides are physically and functionally distinct chemical entities. Therefore, the Invention are patentably distinct.
- 6. Inventions VI and VII-XI are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)).

In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in materially different processes, such as to produce antibodies.

- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

4

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Gerald Ewoldt whose telephone number is (703) 308-9805. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 5:30 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 December 4, 2001 Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600